

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com

RECEIVED  
AUG 23 2001  
FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in its abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,

  
Bret Boren

No. of Copies rec'd 08  
UNABOCE

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

RECEIVED

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com

AUG 23 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in it's abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,



Bret Boren

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

RECEIVED  
AUG 23 2001  
FCC MAIL ROOM

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com


Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in its abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,



Bret Boren

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com

RECEIVED

AUG 23 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in it's abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,



Bret Boren

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

RECEIVED

AUG 23 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com


Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in its abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,



Bret Boren

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com

RECEIVED

AUG 23 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in its abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,



Bret Boren

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com

RECEIVED

AUG 23 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in it's abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,



Bret Boren

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com

RECEIVED

AUG 23 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM


Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in it's abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,



Bret Boren



# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com

RECEIVED  
AUG 23 2001  
FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in it's abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,

  
Bret Boren

# Comments on NPRM ET Docket No. 99-231, Released: May 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room TW-204B  
Washington, DC 20554

August 22, 2001

RECEIVED  
AUG 23 2001  
FCC MAIL ROOM

From: Bret Boren  
1000 Breeze Way  
Southlake, TX 76092  
817-442-0839  
vbboren@home.com

Dear Miss Salas,

I would like to make three comments to the FCC on the FNPR, document No. FCC 01-158, Docket No. 99-231, released May 11, 2001.

- 1) The Appendix B, Proposed Rule Changes, shows a revision of Section 15.247(a)(2) and made no mention of it in its abstract. This is a mistake in the document and has created confusion and lack of attention to this important rule change of Section 15.247(a)(2).
- 2) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will allow a narrowband signal (including a CW signal) at a spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz to pass Part 15.247 and be in violation of part 15.249. This will allow a loop hole in avoiding the much tighter Part 15.249 emissions requirements that is designed to govern intentional radiators other than FHSS, DSSS, and Digitally Modulated systems.
- 3) Revising or deleting paragraph Section 15.247(a)(2) is removing the minimum 6dB bandwidth requirement of 500kHz for DSSS and Digitally Modulated intentional radiators. This will mean the **only** unique requirement of a DSSS or Digitally Modulated system is the spectral density of 8dBm per 3kHz in Part 15.247(5)(d) of the Proposed Rule Change. This means there will be no bandwidth restriction or modulation restriction. Therefore any type of signal can pass Part 15.247 as long as it has a spectral density less than 8dBm per 3kHz. With this as the only definition of a DSSS and Digitally Modulated system, the two terms have no unique meaning, create rule interpretation confusion, mislead the industry, and would better be removed from Part 15.247 all together.

I am concerned that the FCC document mistake as indicated in item #1 has caused the overlook of concerns as stated in items #2 and #3. This will prompt petitions from the industry if a Report and Order is issued after this NPRM.

Best regards,

  
Bret Boren